

J. E. Kleinhenz¹, A. Paz², and R.P. Mueller³ ¹NASA Glenn Research Center (21000 Brookpark Rd, Cleveland, OH 44135, Julie.E.Kleinhenz@nasa.gov), ²NASA Johnson Space Center (Houston, TX, 77058, aaron.paz-1@nasa.gov), NASA Kennedy Space Center (Kennedy Space Center, FL 32899, Rob.Mueller@nasa.gov)

Production: The MAV engines operate at mixture ratios between 3:1 and 3.5:1 (oxygen:methane) Since the Sabatier reactor in the ISRU system produces at a

the mass/power of the cyro-coolers needed to liquefy these products. The power source is assumed to be the same fission reactor(s) that will be needed for human presence; ISRU will use the reactor(s) when humans are not present. Radiators are also not currently included in this ISRU case study because they will be integral to the lander. However, heat rejection requirements are currently being coordinated with the MAV team.

Results: The following results compare three different ISRU cases. The first two cases are the water processing options where both propellants, LOX and LCH₄, for the MAV are produced via ISRU. The first is the ‘best case’ granular resource (sulfate-rich regolith 8wt% water) and the second is the typical regolith (1.6 wt% water). The third case is a LOX-only production case as baselined in DRA5.0. The LOX-only system model used here uses solid oxide electrolysis to produce 25mt of oxygen (MAV propellant + Life support, see Fig. 2). The same top level mission requirements and 3-module approach were used in this case.

The first set of results in Fig. 3, shows the comparison of the ISRU system hardware. Individual subsystem masses are called out in order to identify power and mass drivers to target future technology trades. The type of granular regolith water resource used does not have a large impact on total mass, with the sulfate case only showing a slight mass reduction. The benefit of a water-rich regolith is in the power consumption. The power for Sulfate-rich case is comparable to the power needed for a LOX-only ISRU system. It should be noted that the power needed to extract the water is thermal, and could be harnessed from non-electrical sources (eg waste heat from the fission reactor), thus reducing power requirements further.



Figure 3: ISRU system hardware comparison in terms of mass (left) and power (right) for each subsystem.

To truly consider the benefits of ISRU, it is important to consider the total mass savings. Therefore, Figure 4 shows the “total mass” which includes the mass of the ISRU hardware systems from Fig. 3 as well as the mass of any propellants supplied from earth.

Therefore, case 1 and 2 (LOX/LCH₄ ISRU systems) consist only of hardware mass, case 3 (LOX-only ISRU) includes both hardware and methane mass, and case 4 is just the total mass of propellant (LOX and LCH₄) needed to fuel the MAV.

Since the majority of the MAV propellant is Oxygen, the LOX-only ISRU shows a 75% mass reduction over the No-ISRU option. However the hardware mass of the LOX/LCH₄ case is less than one metric ton higher than the hardware for a LOX-only system. Yet, that one additional ton saves 7mt of methane from earth. Note that these earth-based propellant masses (including the No-ISRU case) do not account for the additional propellant or system mass which would be required to deliver that MAV propellant to Mars from LEO. Thus the advantage of a combined ISRU LOX/LCH₄ production system would be even greater than indicated.

ISRU system Mass Comparison (ISRU Hardware + Propellant from Earth)		
The ISRU system leverages the power and radiator systems that are pre-positioned by the lander for human systems. So these are not explicitly part of the ISRU system.		
	Total Mass, mt	Ratio: Propellant produced per kg of landed mass
Case 1 ISRU for LOX & LCH ₄ : Sulfates	1.6	22.1
Case 2 ISRU for LOX & LCH ₄ : Regolith	1.7	20.5
Case 3 ISRU for LOX only (no water)	8.0 (1mt hardware + 7mt Methane)	3.1
Case 4 Propellant only (no ISRU)	31.6 (24mt Oxygen + 7mt Methane)	na

Figure 4: Comparison considering landed mass needed to fuel the MAV (ISRU hardware and earth-based propellants).

The evaluation metric, shown in last column, is the ratio of propellant produced per total mass. So, for every kg of system total mass, a LOX/LCH₄ ISRU system produces over 20 kg of propellant, while a LOX-only ISRU system produces 3 kg of propellant. Therefore, harnessing even the lowest yield Mars regolith water resource for ISRU offers a 6x improvement over an LOX-only ISRU in the terms of the mass of propellant generated for each kg of total ISRU system mass.

References: [1] Drake, B.G. (2009) NASA-SP-2009-566 [2] Polsgrove, T. et al. (2015), AIAA2015-4416 [3] Mueller, R.P et al. (2016) ASCE Earth & Space [4] Leshin, L.A et al (2013) Science 341, 1238937